

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

CASE NO.

DARIN DOMBROSKI,

Plaintiff,

v.

EMPIRE AUTO CARE, INC.,
BROOKS M. FARRAR, and
AMELVIS GOMEZ,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT
{Jury Trial Demanded}

Plaintiff DARIN DOMBROSKI (“Dombroski”) brings this action against Defendants EMPIRE AUTO CARE, INC. (“EAC”), BROOKS M. FARRAR (“Farrar”), and AMELVIS GOMEZ (“Gomez”) and alleges as follows:

1. This is an action arising under the Fair Labor Standards Act 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-219 (“FLSA”). Jurisdiction is conferred on this Court by 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
2. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was a resident of Florida and an “employee” of Defendants as defined by the FLSA.
3. At all times material hereto, EAC was a Florida corporation that regularly transacted business in Broward County, Florida.
4. Upon information and belief, EAC’s gross sales or business done was in excess of \$500,000 per year at all times material hereto.
5. EAC was an enterprise engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce and is covered by the FLSA at all times material hereto.

6. Farrar is an FLSA employer as defined in 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), is an owner and/or manager of EAC, ran the day-to-day operations, and had operational control over EAC, and was directly involved in decisions affecting duties, employee compensation, and hours worked by employees, such as Plaintiff.

7. Gomez is an FLSA employer as defined in 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), is an owner and/or manager of EAC, ran the day-to-day operations, and had operational control over EAC, and was directly involved in decisions affecting duties, employee compensation, and hours worked by employees, such as Plaintiff.

8. EAC operates a car repair shop.

9. Defendants have employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce including but not limited to computers, phones, pens, and paper.

10. Dombroski worked for Defendants as a mechanic

11. Defendants failed to pay Dombroski's full and proper minimum wages.

12. Defendants failed to pay Dombroski's full and proper overtime wages.

13. Defendants knowingly and willfully refused to pay Plaintiff's legally-entitled wages.

14. Attached as **Exhibit A** is a preliminary calculation of Dombroski's claims; these amounts may change as Plaintiff engages in the discovery process.

15. Plaintiff retained the services of the undersigned and are obligated to pay for the legal services provided.

COUNT I
VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT
AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

16. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-15 above as if set forth herein in full.
17. Pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), Plaintiff is entitled to (i) unpaid minimum wages, (ii) time-and-a-half overtime pay, and (iii) liquidated damages.
18. Plaintiff seeks recovery of damages as referenced above and further seeks interest, costs, and attorneys' fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, plus costs, reasonable attorneys' fees, and such other remedy as the court deems just and appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

Koz Law, P.A.
800 East Cypress Creek Road Suite 421
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33334
Tel: (786) 924-9929
Fax: (786) 358-6071
Email: ekoz@kozlawfirm.com



Elliot Kozolchyk, Esq.
Florida Bar No. 74791